

7/6 Aaly Tokombaev, 720060, Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan инн 01407199310022 | 999 УККН www.auca.kg

Approved by the Faculty Senate on February 13, 2024.

Grading Policy for Spring 2024 and onwards

AUCA is striving to provide high quality education. To maintain universal quality standards across the institution, this policy states the following standards and procedures:

a)	The grading scale across courses and programs is as follows	
----	---	--

A	94-100%	С	73-76%
A-	90-93%	C-	70-72%
B+	87-89%	D+	67-69%
В	83-86%	D	63-66%
В-	80-82%	D-	60-62%
C+	77-79%	F	0-59%

b) The syllabi must include the grading scale and the definition of what constitutes an A (excellent),
B (good), C (satisfactory), and D (minimally sufficient) grade, and under what conditions a student might fail the course (receive an F). The general guidelines on what A, B, C, and D constitutes are below. They can be adapted to meet course requirements.

An excellent performance (A) exemplifies that the student has been able to fully reach and demonstrate mastery of the learning objectives of the course.

A good performance (B) exemplifies that the student has been able to demonstrate a good mastery of the learning objectives of the course, but is lacking some higher-order knowledge and skills.

A satisfactory performance (C) exemplifies that the student has reached a decent level of mastery of the learning objectives, but the work also demonstrates a sizable lack of in-depth knowledge of the course matters.

A minimally sufficient performance (D) exemplifies that the student has mastered some basic knowledge of the subject matter of the course but lacks ability to connect concepts and apply skills.

c) Students receive an F grade if they fail to demonstrate minimum mastery of basic knowledge of the subject matter;

- d) The X grade specifically denotes non-attendance. It cannot be requested by a student and only is given at the discretion of a faculty member.
- e) Counting attendance to classes as a criteria to give credit points towards the final course grade is not a criteria for learning and is not acceptable for grading. If faculty deems necessary to additionally motivate students to not miss classes, they must stimulate them by inciting active learning activities. The criteria for what constitutes active learning activities must be clearly described in the syllabus.

Rationale for this policy proposal

- A universal grading policy, or at the least, an agreement on a common passing grade, will prevent confusion among students and will provide clearer communication for students between courses and departments. Students are demonstrating confusion in these ways:
 - a) Example: A student in general education complained about receiving an F for her course (she earned a 50%). The general education grading scale indicates that an F is <60%. However, the student attached a screenshot, presumably from another course, with a grading scale where F is 45 or below (See Appendix A), as an attempted justification for why her general education professor could not fail her.
- See the rationale for Grading Policy change <u>here</u> for a complete analysis and rationale for defining excellent, good, satisfactory, and minimally sufficient quality work as well as moving away from grading attendance.
- 3) The proposal is based on a thorough analysis of all the syllabi across departments. The document showing the discrepancies has been shared with the VPAA and the AAC of the Faculty Senate.

Appendix A

Screenshot provided by student to her general education professor as justification for why her 50% was not a F. Note the grading scale is not from General education and must be from another class the student was enrolled in.

